United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

	v.)	Case No. 5:15-CR-294-1BR
	ERNEST DENNIS BROWN)	Case No. 5.13-61(-254-151)
	Defendant)	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reforme that the defendant be detained pending trial.	n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—Findings of	of Fact
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U	.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal		
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death	n or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in
		.*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been condescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compared to the condescribed in 18 U.S.C.	•
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	es:
	□ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive	device or any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while federal, state release or local offense.	le the defendant was on release pending trial for a
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the	☐ date of conviction ☐ the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum of another person or the community. I further find that	•
	Alternative Findin	ngs (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	s committed an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or me	ore is prescribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2 <u>)</u>	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
Alternative Findings (B)			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
$\mathbf{L}(2)$	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by			
convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.			
For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.			
	The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment		
	The apparent strength of the government's case		
	The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation		
	The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations		
	Other:		
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention			
in a c	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody		

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: April 11, 2016

Lobert T Numbers II.

Judge's signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title